PROJECT PROFILE

Title	Optimising the use of existing masonry arch bridge assessment methods and developing a new load capacity assessment tool	NRANDAL Roads Authority An tödarðs um Béithre Náisiánta
Contractor	UCD	
Contact details	Dr Paul Fanning School of Architecture Landscape and Civil Engineering University College Dublin, Newstead Belfield, Dublin 4 paul.fanning@ucd.ie	
NRA Mentor	Liam Duffy	
Start date	Dec-08	
End date	Nov-11	
Status	On-going	
Type of project	Research Fellowship: PhD (Niamh Gibbons)	
Cost	€136.7k	
Project reference	NR/250/04 PO 6911	

Description	There are approximately 20,000		
	bridges on the Irish network of		
	national and non-national roads.		
	Arch bridges make up a		
	significant proportion of these		
	bridges (36% of bridge on the		
	National roads, 80% overall). As		
	such, they represent a critical		
	component of the road		
	infrastructure. Because of the		
	age of these structures (many are		
	over 200 years old), and because		
	of their architectural and heritage		
	value, they require careful		
	management if their Detailed analysis of arch bridge		
	service lives are to be maximised. Effective and practical methods are necessary to determine their load carrying capacity and identify appropriate strengthening and		
	rehabilitation methods.		
	The current bridge assessment programme has identified a significant proportion of these		
	bridges that require strengthening or weight-restriction. This project involves reviewing the		
	available range of existing stage 1 (screening) bridge assessment methods and developing		
	a new stage 1 (screening) and stage 2 (in-depth) assessment method. The project output		
Objectives	To make recommendations to improve the use of assessment methods giving		
	consideration to the parameters which affect the load-carrying capacity of arch bridges.		
	These will be used to develop better preliminary and in-depth assessment methods which		
	will improve confidence regarding the accuracy of load-capacity ratings for arch bridges.		
Benefits	Improving the use of stage 1 assessment methods, and developing a more accurate		
	strength assessment technique, will result in a higher proportion of assessment passes		
	than is currently being achieved. Consequently, fewer in-depth stage 2 or stage 3		
	assessments, fewer weight-restricted bridges and fewer bridge strengthening schemes,		
	would be necessary. The project could therefore offer very considerable cost savings in		
	bridge management and rehabilitation requirements.		
Outputs	Review of current assessment methods for arch bridges		
	Recommendation regarding the limitations of existing methods		
	Development of new assessment method		
	Delivery of new assessment methodology for arch bridges		